



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/280,541 | 03/30/1999 | | JAE-ICK HO | P55657 | 5957 |
| 8439 | 7590 | 03/04/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT I | E. BUSH | NELL | NGUYEN, KEVIN M | | |
| 1522 K STR SUITE 300 | 1522 K STREET NW SUITE 300 | | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1202 | | | | 2674 | 32 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | on No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/280,541 | HO, JAE-ICK | | | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Kevin M. Nguyen | 2674 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (2) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>5,7-11 and 16-20</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6 and 12-15</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| di Na | | | | | | | | |
| P | VIAO WU REMINER EXAMINER | Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674 | | | | | | |



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons cited in the last Final office action. Berner replied upon for the teaching the computer 2 detects whether the bar code reader is connected with the interface connector (boxes 103 and 104) a voltage signal via the interface (SIF, SIF', PIF, and PIF', fig. 2) at different time was controlled by the timer (fig. 2, col. 4, line 55 through col. 5, line 5) and determining whether or not the inputting of the display data is correct (fig. 3, col. 5, lines 15-44).